

City of Burien, Washington

Shoreline Advisory Committee

Meeting #4 Summary

September 10, 2008

4:00pm

(1) ATTENDANCE

SAC Members present	Technical Staff Present	Interested Parties Present
Bruce Berglund Jim Branson Cyrilla Cook Joe Fitzgibbon Patrick Haugen David Johanson Rebecca McInteer Emelie McNett Lee Moyer Kim Otto Annie Phillips George Yocum Don Warren Joe Weiss	Liz Ockwell Karen Stewart	

(2) CONFIRM AGENDA

1. The agenda was confirmed

(3) REVIEW AND APPROVE MEETING #3 SUMMARY

1. The meeting summary was accepted as presented with a few clarifying comments

- § Clarification regarding idea of making private beaches available to the public, discussion clarified the statement that maybe there is a way for access to be provided by private owners at specific times.
- § Clarification on potential policy about public access and where it should be provided, the idea was to disperse access equally throughout the city to make it more available to all neighborhoods.

(4) SHORELINE GOALS AND POLICIES, Continued DISCUSSION

1. General Policy Language
 - § Policy language is "wishy-washy" - Instead of using 'should', 'shall' should be used instead

- Discussed implications of using should vs. shall language
- § Discussion regarding if 'shoreline' be removed from policy language as this document is the Shoreline Master Program
- 2. 2.13 Shoreline Element
 - § *Consensus*: Language defining the shoreline jurisdiction should be added to this section
 - § *Consensus*: Language should also be added such as from the Port Townsend SMP to clearly state how this SMP refers to Burien specifically
- 3. Goals and Policies Applicable to All Master Program Elements
 - § *Consensus*: Pol. ALL 1.2 should be changed to "Regulation and management of Burien's shorelines should be guided ~~informed~~ by ongoing and comprehensive science".
 - § *Consensus*: Pol. ALL 1.8 should read "When drafting new regulations the City should consider an incentive base system to encourage redevelopment projects to comply with accepted ~~current~~ shoreline best management practices and standards."
- 4. Economic Development Master Program Use Element
 - § Discussion on whether customer service and permitting policies are appropriate in a shoreline document. 5 in favor of keeping 5 in favor of removing.
 - § *Consensus*: Was to remove Pol. ED 1.4, however it will remain in city's comp plan but not recommended to be in SMP policies.
- 5. Public Access Master Program Element
 - § *Consensus*: Goal PA.1 should read "Increase and enhance public access to shoreline areas, consistent with the natural shoreline character, private property rights, and public safety
 - § *Consensus*: Pol. PA 1.8.b should read "Ensuring that ~~a minimal amount of~~ public parking is available, and that any new parking ~~facilities~~ developed would be harmonious with the surrounding neighborhood"
 - § *Consensus*: Pol. PA 1.8.c should read "Ensuring that the waterfront street ends are preserved and maintained with limited enhancements, such as places to sit or rest which fit in with the natural environment character"
- 6. Recreation Master Program Element
 - § *Consensus*: Pol. REC 1.3 should read "Public information and education programs, and relevant

attendant enforcement procedures, should be developed

§ *Consensus:* Pol. REC 1.7 should read “Trails and pathways on steep shoreline bluffs should be located, designed and maintained to protect bank stability without need for shoreline armoring”

7. Circulation Master Program Element

§ *Consensus:* Pol CI 1.2 should be clarified to read “Cross-sound bridges should be prohibited within the Burien shoreline jurisdiction”

§ *Consensus:* Add Pol CI 1.12 to read “Parking for non water dependent uses should be located as far away as feasible from shorelines”

§ *Consensus:* Pol CI 1.9 should read “Utilities are necessary to serve shoreline uses and shall ~~should~~ be properly installed so as to protect the shoreline and water from contamination and degradation”

8. Use Master Program Element

§ *Consensus:* Pol CI 1.5 should be rephrased and reworded to read “If feasible, septic systems should be connected to the sanitary sewer system where connections are available”

9. Conservation Master Program Element

§ *Consensus:* Pol. CON 1.21 should be amended to read “The City should require development proposals to include non structural measures to stabilize soils, hillsides, bluffs and ravine sidewalls and to promote wildlife habitat by removing invasive vegetation and retaining or restoring native vegetation”

§ *Consensus:* Pol. CON 1.26.c should read “~~Commercial and recreational~~ Shellfish areas”

10. Restoration Master Program Element

§ Formatting error noted in numbering should read 2.13.1042

(5) NEXT MEETING

The next meeting is tentatively scheduled for February 2009. David Johanson will try and provide a meeting 4 summary and send it to the committee. He will also be incorporating the consensus items in a Preliminary Goals and Policy DRAFT for circulation.

The meeting concluded at 6:05pm.